

OUTBURST OF COMET 17P/HOLMES OBSERVED WITH THE *SOLAR MASS EJECTION IMAGER*

JING LI¹, DAVID JEWITT^{1,2}, JOHN M. CLOVER³, AND BERNARD V. JACKSON³

¹ Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, University of California at Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; jl@igpp.ucla.edu, jewitt@ucla.edu

² Departments of Earth and Space Sciences and Physics and Astronomy, University of California at Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

³ Center for Astrophysics and Space Science, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0424, USA

Received 2010 September 15; accepted 2010 December 3; published 2011 January 17

ABSTRACT

We present time-resolved photometric observations of the Jupiter family comet 17P/Holmes during its dramatic 2007 outburst. The observations, from the orbiting *Solar Mass Ejection Imager* (*SMEI*), provide the most complete measure of the whole-coma brightness, free from the effects of instrumental saturation and with a time resolution well matched to the rapid brightening of the comet. The light curve is divided into two distinct parts. A rapid rise between the first *SMEI* observation on UT 2007 October 24 06h 37m (mid-integration) and UT 2007 October 25 is followed by a slow decline until the last *SMEI* observation on UT 2008 April 6 22h 16m (mid-integration). We find that the rate of change of the brightness is reasonably well described by a Gaussian function having a central time of UT 2007 October 24.54 ± 0.01 and a full width at half-maximum of 0.44 ± 0.02 days. The maximum rate of brightening occurs some 1.2 days after the onset of activity. At the peak, the scattering cross-section grows at 1070 ± 40 km² s⁻¹ while the (model-dependent) mass loss rates inferred from the light curve reach a maximum at 3 × 10⁵ kg s⁻¹. The integrated mass in the coma lies in the range (2–90) × 10¹⁰ kg, corresponding to 0.2%–10% of the nucleus mass, while the kinetic energy of the ejecta is (0.7–30) megatonnes TNT. The particulate coma mass could be contained within a shell on the nucleus of thickness 1–60 m. This is also the approximate distance traveled by conducted heat in the century since the previous outburst of 17P/Holmes. This coincidence is consistent with, but does not prove, the idea that the outburst was triggered by the action of conducted heat, possibly through the crystallization of buried amorphous ice.

Key words: comets: general – comets: individual (17P/Holmes) – Kuiper Belt: general

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

Comet 17P/Holmes is a dynamically unremarkable comet, with a semimajor axis, eccentricity, and inclination of 3.620 AU, 0.433, and 19°1, respectively. The Tisserand parameter measured with respect to Jupiter is 2.86, which classifies 17P/Holmes as a member of the Jupiter comet family and suggests a likely origin in the Kuiper belt. The perihelion distance is a modest 2.05 AU, small enough to drive the production of a coma through the sublimation of near-surface water ice but large enough that the comet is not normally spectacular as seen from the Earth. As a result, the comet has received relatively little observational attention, and the properties of its nucleus are poorly known, except for an estimate of its radius (about 1.7 km; Lamy et al. 2009). However, 17P/Holmes is distinguished by having undergone three dramatic photometric outbursts, the first leading to its discovery in 1892 November (Holmes 1892), followed by an outburst in 1893 mid-January (Barnard 1896), and the most recent being the subject of this paper. The recent outburst was first noticed by J. A. Henriques Santana on UT 2007 October 24.067 (Buzzi et al. 2007) and triggered intensive study by unprecedented numbers of observers around the world. In the course of a day, the comet brightened from about 17th apparent magnitude up to naked-eye visibility, with concurrent expansion of an initially circular coma at the sky-plane velocity ~550 m s⁻¹ (Lin et al. 2009; Hsieh et al. 2010; corresponding to ~40 arcsec day⁻¹ at a geocentric distance 1.6 AU).

The remarkable photometric characteristics of 17P/Holmes introduced two practical problems for observers. First, the high initial surface brightness of the coma caused saturation

of the data from many instruments, especially those having large apertures and short focal ratios. Second, the expansion of the coma soon overfilled the fields of view of many large telescopes, so that while photometry of the central regions could be obtained, photometry of the whole coma could not.

In this paper, we report observations of 17P/Holmes fortuitously taken with the *Solar Mass Ejection Imager* (*SMEI*). This orbiting instrument takes data with a 102 minute cadence well suited to the study of the temporal evolution of the outbursting comet. Moreover, *SMEI* images are obtained in such a way that even high surface brightness sources do not lead to saturation of the data, as we will describe. Lastly, the angular resolution of *SMEI* permits measurements of the integrated light from the whole coma, at least for the first few months. In these several regards, the *SMEI* data are complementary to other measurements taken with cameras that saturated (Hsieh et al. 2010), or which were unable to image the full coma owing to their limited fields of view (Montalto et al. 2008; Mugrauer et al. 2009; Lin et al. 2009).

2. OBSERVATIONS

SMEI was launched on the *Coriolis* satellite by the United States Department of Defense in 2003 January (Eyles et al. 2003). The scientific aim of *SMEI* is to detect and forecast the arrivals of coronal mass ejections (Jackson et al. 2004; Buffington et al. 2008). *SMEI* has a Sun-synchronous polar orbit above the Earth's terminator, with a period of 102 minutes. Three charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras, each with a field of view 60° × 3°, scan the sky as the satellite orbits the Earth. They are oriented about 20° above the local horizontal and pointed opposite to the motion of the spacecraft. Their alignments are

such that Camera 1 points away from the Sun, Camera 3 points near the Sun, and Camera 2 aims in the middle. This allows coverage of nearly the entire sky in one orbit. The camera optics consist of two mirrors behind a complicated baffle structure, with an effective collecting area of $\sim 1.7 \text{ cm}^2$.

The image scale of the camera is $0''.05 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$, but is degraded to $0''.2 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ on board during normal “science mode” operations. In a normal astronomical camera system, such a large pixel scale would result in rapid saturation of the data from bright stars and even from high surface brightness coronal structures. Two characteristics of the *SMEI* instruments prevent saturation of detector pixels caused by bright sources in the field of view. First, the exposure time for a single CCD frame is limited to only 4 s. Typically, 1530 frames are combined from each CCD camera during a single orbit in order to produce one sky map. Second, the images from the camera are intentionally defocused, such that point sources appear extended and fish-shaped in the plane of the CCD. This reduces the likelihood of saturation by spreading the light from each point source over ~ 200 pixels. It also improves the photometric precision (up to 0.1%) by allowing a large number of photoelectrons to be captured in each image without approaching the 350,000 electron full-well capacity of the CCD. The instrument point-spread function with a total width of $\sim 1^\circ$ provides a 0.1% differential photometric sky brightness response as stellar signals sweep across the camera’s field of view. The capacity to image bright sources without approaching saturation of the detector is a key advantage of *SMEI* when used to study the outbursting comet 17P/Holmes.

Final images from *SMEI* are digitally constructed in J2000 equatorial coordinates. The data are re-sampled back to $0''.1 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ to create sky maps for each *SMEI* camera with dimensions 3600×1200 pixels in longitude and latitude (Jackson et al. 2004). The processing steps used at UCSD to convert the raw CCD images into photometrically accurate white-light sky maps include: integration of new data into the *SMEI* database; removal of an electronic offset (bias) and dark current pattern; identification of cosmic rays, space debris, and flipper pixels (see Hick et al. 2005 for further details); and placement of the images onto a high-resolution sidereal grid using spacecraft pointing information. To reduce background subtraction uncertainties, stars brighter than 6th magnitude are automatically removed from *SMEI* images by fitting the point-spread function (Hick et al. 2007).

To avoid confusion between the multiple time systems used to report observations (local time, universal time, decimal Julian day numbers, and modified Julian day numbers have all been used), we employ the day of year (DOY) number, defined as $\text{DOY} = 1.0$ on UT 2007 January 1 and increasing linearly thereafter (i.e., UT 2008 January 1 is $\text{DOY} = 366$). In this system, the perihelion of 17P/Holmes occurred on $\text{DOY} = 124.6615$, $\text{JD} = 2454225.1615$, and UT 2007 May 04.6615.

The first *SMEI* sky map image showing 17P/Holmes has mid-integration time UT 2007 October 24 06h 37m 02s ($\text{DOY} = 297.275$), the sky map was made between 05h 36m 12s and 07h 37m 52s) on Camera 1. Two previous images from the same day appear blank, apparently because the shutter of *SMEI* was closed. The comet is already bright when first recorded and continued to be well recorded by *SMEI* Camera 1 to 2008 January 11 08h 36m 26s (mid-time between 07h 35m 36s and 09h 37m 16s) and Camera 2 from UT 2008 January 1 01h 34m 56s (mid-time between 00h 43m 44s and 02h 26m 08s) to 2008 April 6 22h 15m 58s (mid-time between 21h 31m 24s




Figure 1. Left axis: heliocentric and geocentric distances of 17P/Holmes, R and Δ respectively. Right axis: the phase angle, α , as functions of time, expressed as day of year in 2007.

and 23h 01m 32s). After April 6, the comet became too faint to be readily measured using *SMEI*. The observations covered a 165 day period with a total of 1992 sky map images. During this time, the geocentric distance doubled, while the heliocentric distance increased only slightly. The change in the observing geometry is illustrated in Figure 1. Sample *SMEI* images of 17P/Holmes are shown in Figure 2.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Brightness Calibration

Data from *SMEI* are routinely photometrically calibrated using bright stars distributed around the sky. However, because the passband of this filterless instrument is very broad and different from the standard astronomical filters, we elected to calibrate the data against our own measurements of 17P/Holmes taken nearly simultaneously. For these, we used the University of Hawaii 2.2-m telescope to image 17P/Holmes on UT 2007 October 26.33 ($\text{DOY} = 299.33$) in order to photometrically calibrate the *SMEI* data. The spectral response of the *SMEI* imager is very broad, exceeding 10% in the optical wave band ($4500\text{--}9500 \text{ \AA}$) and $>40\%$ over the $6000 \text{ \AA} \leq \lambda \leq 7500 \text{ \AA}$ wavelength range. The central wavelength corresponds approximately to the astronomical R band. Accordingly, an R -band filter was employed at the 2.2-m telescope and calibrated in the Kron–Cousins photometric system (Landolt 1992). The comet was imaged using a Tektronix 2048×2048 pixel CCD camera placed at the $f/10$ Cassegrain focus, where the plate scale is $0''.219 \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ and the field of view is $450'' \times 450''$. We used aperture photometry with circular projected apertures and experimented to determine the optimum aperture radius for 17P/Holmes photometry. We found that an aperture radius of 800 pixels ($175''$) was sufficient to capture $>99\%$ of the light from the comet on this date. Such a large aperture could not be used to measure the ($\sim 60,000$ times fainter) standard star without incurring unacceptable errors from uncertainty in the sky background. Instead, an aperture 20 pixels ($4''.4$) in radius, with sky determined from the median of data numbers in a surrounding annulus extending to 70 pixels ($15''.3$) radius, was used to measure the Landolt (1992) standard star SA95-98. Again,




Figure 2. Sample images of 17P/Holmes from *SMEI* Camera 1 taken 2007 November 3 (top) and December 29 (bottom). The region shown in each panel is $14^{\circ}9 \times 12^{\circ}9$ (149×129 pixels) across with north to the top and east to the left. Background stars brighter than sixth magnitude have been removed. The circles around comet 17P/Holmes have radii $1^{\circ}2$, and $3^{\circ}0$, respectively. On November 3, Holmes was unresolved, showing the intrinsic, fish-like *SMEI* image shape (top). By the end of 2007 December, 17P/Holmes was partially resolved by *SMEI* so that the image appears more as a fuzzy ball (bottom).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

we checked to be sure that this aperture captured $>99\%$ of the light from the star.

The particular circumstances of 17P/Holmes demand special mention here. The high surface brightness of the coma on UT 2007 October 26 forced the use of unusually short integrations. Normally, the Tektronix CCD camera is not used with exposures <5 s and, at shorter integration times, the linearity of the shutter (a spring-triggered leaf shutter) is in question. Spatial non-uniformity of the shutter open time with position on the CCD degrades, as does knowledge of the exact duration of the open time. To measure the importance of these effects, we compared exposures of 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 s to estimate possible photometric errors arising from the forced use of short integrations on 17P/Holmes. We find that systematic shutter




Figure 3. Light curve of 17P/Holmes deduced from *SMEI* data. Gaps in the data show where bright field stars contributed excessive contamination.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

errors are less than $\sim 10\%$ for the 17P/Holmes data. This is small enough to be of no significance in the interpretation of the *SMEI* data.

3.2. Photometry of 17P/Holmes

The brightness of 17P/Holmes was measured within projected, circular apertures centered on the photocenter of the object. Use of small apertures is precluded by the large point-spread function produced by *SMEI*, while large apertures suffer excessive contamination by background sources. Accordingly, we employed a standard photometry aperture radius of 12 pixels ($1^{\circ}2$) for our measurements, with sky subtraction determined from a contiguous annulus extending to an outer radius of 30 pixels ($3^{\circ}0$; see Figure 2). We used the median of the pixel values within the sky annulus to define the sky brightness, since the median confers some protection against contamination of the sky brightness by imperfectly removed field stars.

The photometry is shown in Figure 3 as a function of time, with measurements from Camera 1 and 2 identified. Only Camera 1 measurements were calibrated against (nearly) simultaneous observations from the University of Hawaii telescope. However, the two *SMEI* cameras provide overlapping coverage in the period $366 < \text{DOY} < 371$, allowing us to calibrate Camera 2 against Camera 1. Based on this overlap, we have normalized the photometry by subtracting 0.08 mag from the Camera 2 measurements. Gaps in the light curve in Figure 3 appear where field stars have irreversibly compromised the comet data leading to their removal. Remaining excursions in the light curve in Figure 3 (e.g., near DOY 340) result from residual

contamination of the photometry by the wings of bright, distant stars.

To see the effects of viewing geometry on the light curve, we correct the apparent magnitudes, R , from Figure 3, to absolute magnitudes, $R(1, 1, 0)$, using

$$R(1, 1, 0) = R - 5 \log_{10}(r\Delta) - 2.5 \log_{10} \Phi(\alpha), \quad (1)$$

where r and Δ are the heliocentric and geocentric distances, in AU, and $\Phi(\alpha)$ is the scattering phase function of the comet at the phase angle α . The phase functions of active comets are difficult to measure because the phase changes are difficult to isolate from simultaneous changes in R and Δ . However, published phase functions are broadly consistent in showing a large forward scattering peak and a more modest back-scattering peak (Millis et al. 1982; Meech & Jewitt 1987; Schleicher et al. 1998). For this work, we fitted the phase function of Schleicher et al. 1998 (for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 70^\circ$) to obtain

$$2.5 \log_{10} \Phi(\alpha) = 0.045\alpha - 0.0004\alpha^2. \quad (2)$$

Near opposition, Equation (2) gives a phase coefficient of order $0.04 \text{ mag deg}^{-1}$, close to the characteristic values measured for the macroscopic surfaces of low albedo asteroids and cometary nuclei (Li et al. 2009 and references therein). This suggests that the back-scattering properties of the dust are dominated by particles that are optically large ($2\pi a/\lambda \geq 1$, or $a \geq 0.1 \mu\text{m}$, given $\lambda \sim 0.6 \mu\text{m}$). This, in turn, is compatible with the optical continuum colors, which are slightly redder than sunlight (Lin et al. 2009), and with inferences from the coma of comet P/Halley, in which particles with $a < 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ were found to contribute negligibly to the integrated scattering cross-section (Lamy et al. 1987). We note that the selection of the particular form of the phase function given by Equation (2) is not critical, since the range of phase angles over which 17P/Holmes was observed was modest ($8.5^\circ \leq \alpha \leq 19^\circ$) and the effects of phase in Figure 3 are small compared to the effects of the varying geocentric distance.

The resulting absolute magnitudes are shown in Figure 4. Comparison with Figure 3 reveals that the steep decline by about 2.5 mag in apparent brightness observed for $\text{DOY} > 320$ is largely a geometric artifact. The fading portion of the light curve in Figure 4 is comparatively gentle, dimming by only $\sim 0.6 \text{ mag}$ over the same period. This fading is dominated by the escape of dust particles from the region of the coma sampled by the photometry aperture. Figure 2 shows the change in appearance of the comet in *SMEI* images resulting from the partial resolution of the expanding coma by the end of 2007 December. Evidence from other observers using smaller apertures confirms this conclusion. For example, Mugrauer et al. (2009) used small aperture photometry and found fading of the apparent magnitude by $\sim 8 \text{ mag}$ in the 100 days after the outburst, whereas our integrated light photometry shows fading by $\sim 2 \text{ mag}$ over the same period (Figure 3), almost all of which is due to the changing observing geometry.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Scattering Cross-section

The absolute magnitudes can be used to measure the effective scattering cross-section of 17P/Holmes, $C_e \text{ (m}^2\text{)}$, from

$$p_R C_e = 2.24 \times 10^{22} \pi 10^{0.4(R_\odot - R(1,1,0))}, \quad (3)$$




Figure 4. Light curve of 17P/Holmes corrected for the effects of changing observing geometry and normalized to unit heliocentric and geocentric distances, and to zero phase angle. Gaps in the data show where bright field stars contributed excessive contamination.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

where p_R is the geometric albedo measured in the R band and $R_\odot = -27.11$ is the apparent red magnitude of the Sun (Russell 1916). We take the geometric albedo of cometary dust to be $p_R = 0.1$ (Lisse 2002; Hadamcik & Levasseur-Regourd 2009). Effective cross-sections computed in this way are plotted in Figure 5, where we also show photometry taken in the hours preceding the first *SMEI* observation by Hsieh et al. (2010). The cross-sections are very large, rising to $C_e = 5.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^2$ by $\text{DOY} = 299.25$, and equivalent to a circle of diameter $8.4 \times 10^6 \text{ m}$, considerably larger than the diameter of the Moon. We note that the *SMEI* light curve in Figure 5 is qualitatively similar to the light curve compiled by Sekanina (2008) from visual and other data taken using a wide range of instruments and techniques. However, the latter author derived a peak magnitude $H_0 = -0.53 \pm 0.12$ from naked-eye observations, whereas *SMEI* data give $R(1, 1, 0) = -1.8 \pm 0.1$ (see Figure 5). Part of the difference (perhaps $\sim 0.5 \text{ mag}$) can be attributed to the continuum color of the comet (Lin et al. 2009) and the different effective wavelengths of the two measurements. The remainder probably reflects difficulty in using the naked eye to measure the brightness of a diffuse but centrally condensed source.

The rate of change of the cross-section, $dC_e/dt \text{ (m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}\text{)}$, is plotted in Figure 6, for a three day period containing the start of the outburst. Figure 6 shows that area production peaks at $11.0 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ on UT 2007 October 24.54 ± 0.01 ($\text{DOY} = 297.54 \pm 0.01$), about 0.5 days before the comet attains peak brightness (and cross-section), as seen in Figure 5. The peak rate of brightening follows the estimated start of the outburst




Figure 5. Absolute light curve from *SMEI* data compared with data from SuperWASP (Hsieh et al. 2010).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)




Figure 7. Average optical depth vs. time near the start of the outburst computed as described in the text.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)




Figure 6. Left: the rate of change of scattering cross-section. Right: the rate of change of dust mass, as functions of time. The solid line shows a Gaussian function fitted to the data. The approximate time of the start of the outburst, $t_0 = 296.3$, is indicated. The mass production rate refers to an effective particle size $a = 0.65 \mu\text{m}$, as described in the text, and is an effective minimum.

event (UT 2007 October 23.3 ± 0.3 , or DOY = 296.3 ± 0.3 , Hsieh et al. 2010) by 1.2 ± 0.3 days.

4.2. Optical Depth

To what extent is the light curve in Figures 4–6 influenced by optical depth effects in the coma? The mean scattering optical

depth is given by

$$\bar{\tau}(t) = \frac{C_c(t)}{\pi r_o(t)^2} \quad (4)$$

where $r_o(t)$ is the instantaneous radius of the coma. We write $r_o(t) = V(t - t_0)$, where $V = 550 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ is the expansion speed of the coma and $t_0 = \text{DOY } 296.3$ is the time of the start of the outburst (Hsieh et al. 2010; Reach et al. 2010). The spatially averaged optical depth computed from Equations (3) and (4) is plotted in Figure 7, where we see that the peak value in the interval of observations, $\bar{\tau} = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, was attained at DOY 297.8, about 1.5 days after the start of the outburst. This does not rule out the possibility that the coma was globally optically thick before it was first observed.

Figure 7 shows that the coma was optically thin, *on average*, even when at peak brightness, in agreement with the conclusion of Hsieh et al. (2010). Nevertheless, it is still possible that the coma was optically thick when measured along a line to the nucleus, a possibility that we address here with a simple model. As a reference point, we assume a spherically symmetric coma in which the number density of dust grains varies with the inverse square of the distance from the nucleus. The line-of-sight optical depth, in the optically thin limit, then varies as $\tau(p) \propto p^{-1}$, where p is the angle between a given line of sight through the coma and the direction to the nucleus. We write

$$\tau(p) = \tau_n \left[\frac{p_n}{p} \right] \quad (5)$$

where $p_n = r_n/\Delta$ is the angle subtended by the nucleus radius as observed from Earth and τ_n is the optical depth along a line to the center of the nucleus. We assume $r_n = 1.7 \text{ km}$ (Lamy et al.




Figure 8. Average and peak optical depth vs. time. The vertical axis is broken for clarity of presentation, and a horizontal, dashed line shows the region where the coma is optically thick on a line to the center of the nucleus.

2009) to find, at $\Delta = 1.6$ AU, $p_n = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ arcsec. The average optical depth across the coma is

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{\int_{p_n}^{p_o} 2\pi p \tau(p) dp}{\int_{p_n}^{p_o} 2\pi p dp} \quad (6)$$

where $p_o = r_o(t)/\Delta$ is the angular radius of the coma at the instant when $\bar{\tau}$ is computed. After substitution and rearrangement, Equations (5) and (6) give

$$\tau_n = \frac{r_o(t)}{2r_n} \bar{\tau}, \quad (7)$$

provided $p_n \ll p_o$.

Equation (7) is a crude approximation in that it assumes spherical symmetry and a p^{-1} coma. Still, to order of magnitude, Equation (7) gives a useful estimate of the likely peak optical depths toward the nucleus. Figure 8 shows that, whereas the average $\bar{\tau}$ is always very small compared to unity, the coma may be optically thick along a line to the nucleus. The nucleus received no direct sunlight as a result of the outburst, proving that the energy driving the expansion was either stored or derived from another source.

The projected angular radius of the optically thick region of the coma is obtained by setting $\tau(p) = 1$ in Equation (5), giving $p = \tau_n p_n$. At the peak $\tau_n \sim 65$ (DOY 297.8 from Figure 8), with $p_n = 0''.0015$, we find that the optically thick region subtends an angle $p = 0''.1$ as seen from Earth. This is comparable to the ($\sim 0''.06$) angular resolution offered by the best adaptive optics

systems on large telescopes, or by the *Hubble Space Telescope*. It is also so small that the integrated photometric characteristics of 17P/Holmes are dominated by scattering from the much larger optically thin region of the coma. Our conclusion that the coma was optically thick only in a miniscule central region is supported by the optical observations from the UH 2.2-m telescope on UT 2007 October 26 (DOY 299) that were used to photometrically calibrate the *SMEI* data. They show stars undimmed through the coma and a coma surface brightness increasing smoothly with decreasing projected distance from the nucleus. On the other hand, a detection of extinction was reported by Montalto et al. (2008) two days later, on UT 2007 October 28 (DOY 301). They observed the fading of stars, at 3σ levels of confidence, separated from the nucleus by $25'' < p < 180''$. Our data indicate immeasurably small optical depths $3 \times 10^{-4} < \tau < 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ at these large projected distances and thus cannot be reconciled with the observations of Montalto et al. (2008).

The above considerations show that optical depth effects play a negligible role in shaping the overall photometric properties of 17P/Holmes in outburst. Instead, the light curve results from both the time dependence of the rate of release of mass (and cross-section) from the nucleus into the coma and the possible evolution of the scattering properties of particles once ejected. Near-infrared spectral observations of the inner coma in late October and early November revealed water ice whose sublimation in sunlight would provide a natural mechanism for disaggregating composite grains (Yang et al. 2009). Imaging observations show sub-structure suggestive of the breakup or fragmentation of centimeter- and decimeter-sized objects ejected from the nucleus of 17P/Holmes (Stevenson et al. 2010). Hsieh et al. (2010) attempted to fit the early portion of the light curve with a model assuming exponential fragmentation of dust particles and obtained fits with decay timescales of 1000 s and 2000 s. However, fits to data from their limited (~ 4 hr) observing window do not match the more extensive *SMEI* data set presented here, and any simple model of the light curve in terms of dust fragmentation cannot be supported. All we can say based on the light curve is that the brightening reflects the combined effects of the time-dependent nucleus mass production function (assumed to be impulsive by Hsieh et al. 2010) and evolutionary changes in the dust scattering properties. The data offer no way to separate these two effects.

4.3. Mass and Energy

The conversion between the derived scattering cross-section and the particle mass is model dependent and very uncertain. The principal unknown is the dust size distribution, but the particle density is also unmeasured and its value must be assumed. The simplest model is to assume that the particles are all spheres of one effective radius, a_e , and density, ρ . Then, the total dust mass is given by

$$M = \frac{4}{3} \rho a_e C_e. \quad (8)$$

Solid spheres scatter electromagnetic radiation most efficiently when $a \sim \lambda$ (Bohren & Huffman 1983). With $a_e = \lambda = 0.65 \mu\text{m}$ and $\rho = 400 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, the peak $C_e = 5.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^2$ (Figure 5) gives mass $M = 1.9 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg}$. The mass of the nucleus, taken to be a sphere of radius 1.7 km and having the same density, is $M_n = 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ kg}$, so that $M/M_n \sim 0.2\%$.

However, this simplest case is likely to underestimate the dust mass, because the real particles will occupy a size distribution

in which large particles might contain significant mass while presenting negligible cross-section. Optical data alone provide little or no evidence concerning such particles but we can estimate an upper limit to the dust mass as follows. The spectral energy distribution from optical ($0.5 \mu\text{m}$) to mid-infrared ($20 \mu\text{m}$) wavelengths has been modeled in 17P/Holmes in terms of power-law distributions of dust particles size in which the number of particles having radius between a and $a + da$ is proportional to $a^{-q} da$ (Ishiguro et al. 2010). The models indicate $q > 3$ over the radius range $0.3 \mu\text{m}$ to $100 \mu\text{m}$. Measurements in other disintegrating comets show that, while the size distribution is not precisely described by a power law of any index, the data are broadly compatible with power-law models $3 < q < 4$ (Fuse et al. 2007; Jewitt et al. 2010; Vaubaillon & Reach 2010). We consider a middle value, $q = 3.5$, with minimum and maximum particle radii, a_1 and a_2 , respectively. The effective radius is then $a_e = (a_1 a_2)^{1/2}$. With $a_1 = 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ (particles much smaller than this have negligible interaction with optical photons and so present no cross-section for scattering) and $a_2 = 10^{-2} \text{ m}$ (Grün et al. 2001), we obtain $a_e = (a_1 a_2)^{1/2} = 30 \mu\text{m}$. The mass computed from Equation (8) then rises to $M = 9 \times 10^{11} \text{ kg}$, or $M/M_N \sim 10\%$. The range of inferred dust masses, $2 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg} < M < 90 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg}$, may be compared with the best estimate from mid-infrared thermal observations, namely $M = 1.0 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg}$ (Reach et al. 2010).

Masses near the upper limit, $2 \times 10^{11} \text{ kg}$, have been claimed based on millimeter wavelength radio-continuum measurements (Altenhoff et al. 2009). We have reinterpreted these measurements according to the formalism described in Jewitt & Luu (1992). The principal uncertainty is the opacity. With $\lambda = 1 \text{ mm}$ opacities in the range $1\text{--}10 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$, we estimate dust masses from the radio-continuum in the range $10^9\text{--}10^{10} \text{ kg}$ on UT 2007 October 27.1 within a $5''.7 \times 7''.3$ beam. These masses are one to two orders of magnitude smaller than derived by Altenhoff et al. (2009), but consistent with a reanalysis of the same radio-continuum data by Reach et al. (2010) and with the range of masses allowed by the *SMEI* photometry alone. Unfortunately, even the earliest reported radio-continuum measurements sample only a tiny central region in the expanding coma. For example, the first radio-continuum measurement on UT Oct 27.105 used an elliptical $5''.7 \times 7''.3$ beam at a time (~ 4 days after the outburst) when the angular diameter of the dust coma was already $300''$. Therefore, the radio-continuum data on 17P/Holmes offer only a lower limit to the total dust mass.

For the rest of the discussion, we use $2 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg} < M < 90 \times 10^{10} \text{ kg}$ as the best estimate of the dust mass. From Equation (8) the rate of dust production by mass is $dM/dt = 4/3 \rho a_e (dC_e/dt)$, giving $dM/dt \sim (3\text{--}140) \times 10^5 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ at the maximum on UT 2007 October 24.54 \pm 0.01 (DOY 297.54 \pm 0.01). No contemporaneous measurements of the gas production rate are available. The earliest reported gas production rate is by Combi et al. (2007), who measured $Q(\text{H}_2\text{O}) = 1.4 \times 10^{30} \text{ s}^{-1}$ on UT 2007 October 27 (DOY 300), corresponding to $0.4 \times 10^5 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$. Some four days after the start of the outburst and three days past its peak, the dust production at this time was already negligible (Figure 6). Schleicher (2009) extrapolated narrowband photometry data to infer peak water production rates $Q(\text{H}_2\text{O}) \sim 7 \times 10^{29} \text{ s}^{-1}$, or $0.2 \times 10^5 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$.

4.4. Ejecta and Outburst Trigger

The ejected dust mass is equivalent to a cube having a side length $(M/\rho)^{1/3} = 370\text{--}1300 \text{ m}$, where $\rho = 400 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ is

the assumed bulk density of the nucleus. However, this is an unlikely description of the outburst geometry, for three reasons. First, the sky-plane morphology of 17P/Holmes was initially circularly symmetric, with global deviations from circularity only appearing on timescales of a week after radiation pressure had begun to deflect coma dust (Stevenson et al. 2010). Eruption of material from a localized surface source would more naturally produce a jet or a cone, not a spherical debris cloud or one that appeared symmetric in projection onto the sky. (It is sometimes argued that projection effects would hide deviations from circular symmetry because of the small phase angles of observation but, in fact, with an average phase angle ~ 0.2 radian, (see Figure 1) any strong asymmetries would easily have been detected if present). Second, the collimated ejection of mass from a spatially localized source would impart significant recoil to the motion of the nucleus. Very roughly, the velocity impulse on the nucleus is given by $\Delta V = (M/M_N)V$, where $V = 550 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ is the ejecta velocity. Substituting $0.2\% < M/M_N < 10\%$ gives $\Delta V = 1.5\text{--}70 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. In one month, an impulse of this magnitude would lead to a displacement of the nucleus from its pre-outburst-predicted position by 3900 km to 180,000 km, and this could scarcely have escaped detection. (At our request, Dr. Brian Marsden examined the reported positions of 17P/Holmes before and after the outburst to search for evidence of a change in the fitted non-gravitational parameters, but found none). Lastly, on physical grounds it is difficult to imagine a process that would drive mass loss many hundreds of meters deep into the nucleus against the expected radial gradient of temperature from the hot surface to the cold interior.

At the other extreme, the ejected mass could be contained in a surface layer on the nucleus having thickness

$$\ell = \frac{M}{4\pi r_n^2 f \rho}, \quad (9)$$

where f is the fraction of the surface area of the nucleus that is ejected. Substituting $f = 1$ gives $1.4 \text{ m} < \ell < 60 \text{ m}$. Shell-like models have been championed for comets including 17P/Holmes for many years (Sekanina 1982, 2008, 2009b). In these models, the rapid increase in brightness and scattering cross-section would be caused by disaggregation of the shell, presumably driven by sublimation of ices acting as glue in aggregated structures when freshly exposed to solar radiation and by collisions between disaggregated pieces moving at different speeds under gas drag near the nucleus. Sekanina's model is not contradicted by any aspect of the *SMEI* photometry. In this scenario, the 1.2 ± 0.3 day lag between the start of the outburst and the peak rate of area production (Figure 6) provides a measure of the timescale of the disaggregation.

We compare ℓ with the distance over which heat can be transported in the nucleus by conduction. From solution of the heat diffusion equation, this distance is $\delta r = (\kappa P/\pi)^{1/2}$, where κ is the thermal diffusivity of the surface materials and P is the period of time over which conduction acts. The thermal diffusivity of porous dielectrics is roughly $\kappa \sim 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. For example, setting $P = 6.88 \text{ yr}$, the orbital period of 17P/Holmes, we find, $\delta r = 2.5 \text{ m}$. Periodic forcing of the insolation as the nucleus moves around its eccentric orbit drives a wave of conducted heat into the nucleus that damps over a length scale $\delta r \sim 2.5 \text{ m}$. In the $\sim 100 \text{ yr}$ that has elapsed since the outbursts of 1892/93, conducted heat would reach $\delta r \sim 25 \text{ m}$ beneath the initial surface. Regions with depth $\gg \delta r$ will be largely immune to surface heating effects driven by recent surface events and thus are candidate locations for the survival of amorphous

and trapped supervolatile ices. An important conclusion is that, within (considerable) uncertainties, $\ell \sim \delta r$. This approximate equality suggests that the outburst of 17P/Holmes in 2007 could have been triggered by heat conducted from the surface first exposed to space and direct sunlight by the outbursts of 1892/93.

A plausible trigger is the crystallization of amorphous water ice, which is exothermic and which is expected to result in the release of trapped supervolatile gases capable of driving the outburst (Prialnik et al. 2004; Bar-Nun et al. 2007; Reach et al. 2010). Amorphous ice has not been directly detected, but provides a self-consistent explanation for the activity observed in comets (Meech et al. 2009) and Centaurs (Jewitt 2009) located beyond the orbit of Jupiter (where temperatures are too low for crystalline water ice to sublimate). Crystallization models of comets necessarily assume values for many unknown or poorly constrained physical parameters (e.g., the thermal diffusivity, the ice/rock ratio, the nucleus spin properties, the mass of trapped gas, even the orbital evolution in the recent past is important in determining the subsurface temperature structure). As a consequence, crystallization models are very flexible but also very difficult to reject based on observations. One feature that is largely independent of the many unknowns is the stepwise progression of the crystallization front into the nucleus. Thermal runaways triggered by crystallization near the surface propagate downward into colder ice. Eventually, the heat released by crystallization is insufficient to drive additional ice to crystallize, and the runaway stops. The vertical distance is related to the thermal skin depth impressed on the nucleus by sunlight added at the surface and is typically measured in meters. Crystallization is therefore at least qualitatively consistent with a scenario in which a disintegrating dusty surface shell is launched from the 17P/Holmes nucleus.

Kossacki & Szutowicz (2010) computed thermal models of 17P/Holmes and reached the opposite conclusion, namely that runaway crystallization is unlikely to have been responsible for the outburst. However, their conclusion relied, in part, on the very high ejected mass estimates of 10^{12} – 10^{14} kg by Montalto et al. (2008). As noted earlier, the latter mass estimates are based on a reported detection of extinction in the coma which sits uncomfortably with the large-aperture *SMEI* data presented here. In fact, the upper end of the Montalto et al. (2008) mass estimate considerably exceeds our best guess as to the mass of the entire nucleus of 17P/Holmes, and therefore cannot be correct. For this reason, and because our own mass estimates (see also Sekanina 2008; Ishiguro et al. 2010; Reach et al. 2010) are considerably smaller, we consider that to reject crystallization as the energy source for the 17P/Holmes outburst would be premature.

If the particles all travel with characteristic speed $V = 550$ m s^{-1} , their total kinetic energy is $E = (3\text{--}140) \times 10^{15}$ J, equivalent to about 0.7–33 megatonnes of TNT (1 MT = 4.2×10^9 J). This, in turn, is equal to the total solar energy falling on the 1.7 km radius nucleus in 7–350 days. While sunlight might be needed to trigger the outburst of 17P/Holmes, it clearly cannot supply enough energy to drive it. The crystallization of amorphous water ice releases $\Delta E \sim 9 \times 10^4$ J kg^{-1} . Curiously, this is close to the energy per unit mass of the 17P/Holmes coma, $E/M \sim 10^5$ J kg^{-1} . Therefore, crystallization of a subsurface layer of amorphous ice with the associated release of trapped supervolatile gases could supply the mass, energy, and momentum of the ejecta responsible for the remarkable outburst of comet 17P/Holmes. However, why 17P/Holmes should be uniquely afflicted by three such

extraordinary outbursts, whereas most other comets show none, remains a complete mystery.

5. SUMMARY

We have used photometric time-series data from the orbiting *SMEI* to study the evolution of the outbursting comet 17P/Holmes. *SMEI*'s large pixel size and the broad field of view allow the spatially and photometrically full coverage of the comet during its rapid expansion due to outburst.

1. The comet was first detected by *SMEI* on UT 2007 October 24.275 (DOY 297.275) at apparent red magnitude 4.25, quickly brightened to peak brightness (apparent red magnitude 1.8) over the following day, and thereafter faded over the next 5 months.
2. The coma remained globally optically thin (average optical depth $< 3 \times 10^{-3}$) at all times but is inferred to have been locally optically thick (on a line of sight to the nucleus) through the period of observations.
3. The mass of the dust coma was $(2\text{--}90) \times 10^{10}$ kg, corresponding to 0.2%–10% of the nucleus mass. The ejected mass is equivalent to that contained within a surface shell on the 1.7 km radius nucleus having a thickness of 1.4–60 m. Comparison with the ~ 25 m thermal skin depth for heat conducted inward since the previous outbursts in 1892/93 is consistent with conducted heat being the trigger responsible for the outbursts.
4. The rate of change of the scattering cross-section can be approximately matched by a Gaussian function having mid-time UT 2007 October 24.54 \pm 0.01 (DOY 297.54 \pm 0.01) and full width at half-maximum 0.44 \pm 0.02 days. Thus, there is a 1.2 \pm 0.3 day lag between the start of the outburst (as inferred from observations by Hsieh et al. 2010) and the time of peak activity that may measure the timescale for the disintegration of fragments in the coma. Dust cross-section was added to the coma at a peak rate of 1070 \pm 40 $km^2 s^{-1}$.
5. The kinetic energy of the outburst was in the range $(3\text{--}140) \times 10^{15}$ J, far too large for sunlight to play any more than a triggering role in the expansion of the ejecta. The energy per unit mass of the ejecta (10^5 J kg^{-1}) is of the same order as the energy per unit mass released upon the crystallization of amorphous water ice.

The comet Holmes observation by *SMEI* was first brought to our attention by the Web site: <http://www.smei.nso.edu/gallery.html> sponsored by the Air Force Research Laboratory, Space Weather Center of Excellence, National Solar Observatory. We thank *SMEI* team member Dr. Pierre Hick for his generous contribution to the data processing description and Dr. Andrew Buffington for helpful discussions. We thank the referee and Michal Drahus for comments which helped to improve the presentation. *SMEI* was designed and constructed by a team of scientists and engineers from the US Air Force Research Laboratory, the University of California at San Diego, Boston College, Boston University, and the University of Birmingham, UK. This work was supported, in part, by grants to D.J. from NASA's Planetary Astronomy and Outer Planets Research programs.

Note added in proof: After acceptance of our manuscript, Dr. Sekanina kindly pointed out that the start time derived from coma expansion is ~ 0.4 days later than that derived by Hsieh et al. (2010), reducing the interval between the start and the peak

rate of brightening from 1.2 ± 0.3 to ~ 0.8 days. Our Figure 6 is similar to Figure 5 of Sekanina (2009a). We thank Dr. Sekanina for his comments.

REFERENCES

- Altenhoff, W. J., Kreysa, E., Menten, K. M., Sievers, A., Thum, C., & Weiss, A. 2009, *A&A*, **495**, 975
- Barnard, E. E. 1896, *ApJ*, **3**, 41
- Bar-Nun, A., Notesco, G., & Owen, T. 2007, *Icarus*, **190**, 655
- Bohren, C. F., & Huffman, D. R. 1983, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles* (New York: Wiley)
- Buffington, A., Bisi, M. M., Clover, J. M., Hick, P. P., Jackson, B. V., & Kuchar, T. A. 2008, *ApJ*, **677**, 798
- Buzzi, L., Muler, G., Kidger, M., Henriquez Santana, J. A., Naves, R., Campas, M., Kugel, F., & Rinner, C. 2007, *IAU Circ.*, **8886**, 1
- Combi, M. R., Maekinen, J. T. T., Bertaux, J.-L., Quémerais, E., & Ferron, S. 2007, *IAU Circ.*, **8905**, 1
- Eyles, C. J., et al. 2003, *Sol. Phys.*, **217**, 319
- Fuse, T., Yamamoto, N., Kinoshita, D., Furusawa, H., & Watanabe, J.-I. 2007, *PASJ*, **59**, 381
- Grün, E., et al. 2001, *A&A*, **377**, 1098
- Hadamcik, E., & Levasseur-Regourd, A. C. 2009, *Planet. Space Sci.*, **57**, 1118
- Hick, P., Buffington, A., & Jackson, B. V. 2005, *Proc. SPIE*, **5901**, 340
- Hick, P., Buffington, A., & Jackson, B. V. 2007, *Proc. SPIE*, **6689**, 9
- Holmes, E. 1892, *Observatory*, **15**, 441
- Hsieh, H. H., Fitzsimmons, A., Joshi, Y., Christian, D., & Pollacco, D. L. 2010, *MNRAS*, **407**, 1784
- Ishiguro, M., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **714**, 1324
- Jackson, B. V., et al. 2004, *Sol. Phys.*, **225**, 177
- Jewitt, D. 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 4296
- Jewitt, D., & Luu, J. 1992, *Icarus*, **100**, 187
- Jewitt, D., Weaver, H., Agarwal, J., Mutchler, M., & Drahus, M. 2010, *Nature*, **467**, 817
- Kossacki, K. J., & Szutowicz, S. 2010, *Icarus*, **207**, 320
- Lamy, P. L., Gruen, E., & Perrin, J. M. 1987, *A&A*, **187**, 767
- Lamy, P. L., Toth, I., Weaver, H. A., A'Hearn, M. F., & Jorda, L. 2009, *A&A*, **508**, 1045
- Landolt, A. U. 1992, *AJ*, **104**, 340
- Li, J.-Y., A'Hearn, M. F., Farnham, T. L., & McFadden, L. A. 2009, *Icarus*, **204**, 209
- Lin, Z.-Y., Lin, C.-S., Ip, W.-H., & Lara, L. M. 2009, *AJ*, **138**, 625
- Lisse, C. 2002, *Earth Moon Planets*, **90**, 497
- Meech, K. J., & Jewitt, D. C. 1987, *A&A*, **187**, 585
- Meech, K. J., et al. 2009, *Icarus*, **201**, 719
- Millis, R. L., Ahearn, M. F., & Thompson, D. T. 1982, *AJ*, **87**, 1310
- Montalto, M., Riffeser, A., Hopp, U., Wilke, S., & Carraro, G. 2008, *A&A*, **479**, L45
- Mugrauer, M., Hohle, M. M., Ginski, C., Vanko, M., & Freistetter, F. 2009, *Astron. Nachr.*, **330**, 425
- Prialnik, D., Benkhoff, J., & Podolak, M. 2004, in *Comets II*, ed. M. C. Festou, H. U. Keller, & H. A. Weaver (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), 359
- Reach, W. T., Vaubaillon, J., Lisse, C. M., Holloway, M., & Rho, J. 2010, *Icarus*, **208**, 276
- Russell, H. N. 1916, *ApJ*, **43**, 173
- Schleicher, D. G. 2009, *AJ*, **138**, 1062
- Schleicher, D. G., Millis, R. L., & Birch, P. V. 1998, *Icarus*, **132**, 397
- Sekanina, Z. 1982, in *Comets*, ed. L. L. Wilkening (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), 251
- Sekanina, Z. 2008, *Int. Comet Q.*, **30**, 3
- Sekanina, Z. 2009a, *Int. Comet Q.*, **31**, 5
- Sekanina, Z. 2009b, *Int. Comet Q.*, **31**, 99
- Stevenson, R., Kleyna, J., & Jewitt, D. 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 2230
- Vaubaillon, J. J., & Reach, W. T. 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 1491
- Yang, B., Jewitt, D., & Bus, S. J. 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 4538